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30-second introductions

- Your name?
- Your institution?
- Your position/title?
- Why did you come to this session?
Valencia Community College now has four major campuses, two academic and administrative centers, and two more major campuses in the planning stages.
Valencia Profile

- Sites: 4 (±1) campuses, 3 centers
- Total Student Enrollment 2009: 62,930
- FTE 2009: 26,147
- FT Faculty 2009: 439
- PT Faculty (Adjuncts): 987 per major term
- Academic Divisions: 18
- Graduates by Degree 2009: AA (49.9%), AS/AAS (11.6%), Technical Certificate (34.0%), Vocational Certificate (3.4%), EPI (1.1%)
Muddiest Point

What do you find least clear or most complex about planning program level assessment of student learning?
A little bit of history

Early attempts...
Why do we need to do this?

• Valencia is a learning centered institution guided by two questions
  • How will this impact Student Learning?
  • How will we know?

• Goal Two of our Strategic Plan – Learning Assured
  • *Objective 2.1 - Develop, align, and review program learning outcomes to assure a cohesive curricular and co-curricular experience that enhances student learning.*

• The 28 Community Colleges have articulated General Education Learning Outcome Categories for which we are expected to provide assessment results
Statewide General Education Outcome Categories

- Communication
  - Effective reading, writing, speaking, listening

- Critical Thinking
  - Reflection, analysis, synthesis, application

- Scientific and Quantitative Reasoning
  - Understand and apply mathematical and scientific principles and methods

- Information Literacy
  - Find, evaluation, organize, and use information

- Global Socio-cultural Responsibility
  - Participate actively as informed and responsible citizens in social, cultural, global, and environmental issues

Office of Institutional Assessment, 2008
General Education Learning Outcomes

Time Line

- **January / February 2007** – Gen Ed plan presented to the CLC and the Faculty Council for consent / approval
- **March 2007** – Survey faculty about the skills our General Education Program ought to focus on as learning outcomes
  - 130 Faculty Participated
General Education Learning Outcomes

In the year 2000 the League for Innovation in the Community College identified a list of 21st Century skills that ought to be included in a list of outcomes for community college education.

How would you rank the skills identified by the League for Innovation in terms of their importance as General Education learning outcomes?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skill</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not Very Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Collaboration / Teamwork</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Communication (Written / Oral)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Creativity</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Critical Thinking / Problem Solving</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Cultural / Global Studies / Diversity</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Humanities</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Information Management</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Learning Skills</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Mathematics</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Personal Responsibility / Management</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Technology Literacy</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General Education Learning Outcomes

Time Line

- **Late March / Early April 2007** – Faculty Forums on the nature of our General Education Program
  - A total of Eight Faculty Forums
  - Forums focused on Faculty survey responses and the relationship between Gen Ed Outcomes and TVCA

- **Summer 2007** – An Interdisciplinary team of 14 faculty selected by the Faculty Council drafted general education learning outcome statements

- **Late August / Early September 2007** – Draft Gen Ed Outcomes distributed college-wide for feedback
  - 130 participated in the survey
A task force representing different disciplines of the college worked together to synthesize faculty responses to a survey on General Education and campus based faculty forum responses to create this draft list of General Education outcomes. As a reminder, General Education is “the part of a liberal education curriculum shared by all students. It provides broad exposure to multiple disciplines and forms the basis for developing important intellectual and civic capacities (Association of American Colleges and Universities).” Please review these outcome statements with an understanding that each academic division will be responsible for discussing how it contributes to all outcomes. Your feedback will be essential to making Valencia’s General Education Outcomes meaningful to our students’ learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Draft General Education Learning Outcomes - Fall 2007</th>
<th>I agree that this item should be included in our list of General Education Learning Outcomes as it is currently written</th>
<th>I do not agree that this item should be included in our list of General Education Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>I believe that the articulation of this Learning Outcome needs improvement (Please suggest alternative wording below)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
General Education Learning Outcomes

Time Line

• **Late September**—Revise Gen Ed outcomes based on College wide survey feedback

• **October / Early November**
  – Forums sponsored in collaboration with Faculty Senate Presidents

• **Early November**
  – The revision team revise the outcomes a second time based upon input from Faculty Forums

• **November**
  – Revised Gen Ed Outcomes are distributed College wide for review and vote by faculty
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I fully support this student learning outcome.</th>
<th>I support this student learning outcome but have some concern about its content.</th>
<th>I do not support this student learning outcome.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cultural and Historical Understanding: Demonstrate understanding of the diverse traditions of the world, and the individual's place in it.</td>
<td>○ [82]  ○ [26]  ○ [10]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Quantitative and Scientific Reasoning: Use processes, procedures, data, or evidence to solve problems and make effective decisions.</td>
<td>○ [105]  ○ [11]  ○ [2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Communication Skills: Engage in effective interpersonal, oral and written communication.</td>
<td>○ [115]  ○ [3]  ○ [0]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ethical Responsibility: Demonstrate awareness of personal responsibility in one's civic, social, and academic life.</td>
<td>○ [90]  ○ [20]  ○ [8]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Information Literacy: Locate, evaluate, and effectively use information from diverse sources.</td>
<td>○ [108]  ○ [7]  ○ [3]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Critical Thinking: Effectively analyze, evaluate, synthesize and apply information and ideas from diverse sources and disciplines.</td>
<td>○ [111]  ○ [4]  ○ [2]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
General Education Learning Outcomes

Time Line

- **January 2008**
  - Gen Ed Outcomes and Faculty voting results are presented to the Curriculum Committee for consideration and recommendation to the Learning Council

- **February 2008**
  - Learning Council Approves Gen Ed Outcomes
Valencia’s General Education Student Learning Outcomes

- **Cultural and Historical Understanding:** Demonstrate understanding of the diverse traditions of the world, and the individual's place in it.

- **Quantitative and Scientific Reasoning:** Use processes, procedures, data, or evidence to solve problems and make effective decisions.

- **Communication Skills:** Engage in effective interpersonal, oral and written communication.

- **Ethical Responsibility:** Demonstrate awareness of personal responsibility in one's civic, social, and academic life.

- **Information Literacy:** Locate, evaluate, and effectively use information from diverse sources.

- **Critical Thinking:** Effectively analyze, evaluate, synthesize and apply information and ideas from diverse sources and disciplines
Fall 2008: Big Meeting!

- College-wide meeting with faculty and deans
- Explained progress
- Described three options
- Mixed response from faculty
Curriculum Committee: General Education Principles and Procedures

**Principles:** Courses within the General Education Program will:

1. be able to meet the A.A., A.S., and A.A.S degree requirements;
2. significantly contribute to Valencia’s general education outcomes;
3. not narrowly focus on those skills, techniques, and procedures specific to a particular occupation or profession;
4. be transferrable for all programs
5. contribute significantly to breadth of knowledge
Gen Ed Design 3 Basic Options

**Discipline Based Gen Ed Requirements**

1. Maintain the current distribution of Gen Ed hours, identify measurable contributions to the Gen Ed learning outcomes in curricular and co-curricular areas, establish a multi-year college-wide assessment plan
   - Keep compliant with state requirements for Gordon Rule

2. Adjust the distribution of required Gen Ed Hours based on assessable discipline contributions to Gen Ed Outcomes, identify measurable contributions to the Gen Ed learning outcomes in curricular and co-curricular areas, establish a multi-year college-wide assessment plan
   - New Discipline areas may need to be added to address all of the Outcomes (Information Technology, for example)
   - Keep compliant with state requirements for Gordon Rule

**Outcomes Based Gen Ed Requirements**

3. Redesign of General Education Program requirements based on measurable, course specific contributions to the General Education Learning Outcomes.
   - This determination would be based upon faculty agreement on the common course outlines
   - Keep compliant with state requirements for Gordon Rule
First Round of Planning

Making significant progress . . .
Destination 2009

- 13 disciplines/programs
- Created Assessment Plans for General Education Program Learning Outcomes
- Articulated implementation timeline
- Vetted plans with discipline faculty – Fall 2009
  - Academic Assembly
- Implemented – Spring 2010
  - Program Assessment Workshop
  - Learning Day Discipline Meetings
Assessment Day 2010

- May 6th 2010: 9am-12noon
- **120 participants!** (faculty and deans)
- Discipline faculty:
  - Scored student artifacts
  - **Discussed results**
  - Made plans to reflect within discipline and share
- Plans for improvement
  - To assessment plans
  - To assignments
  - To assessment process
- Discussed next Learning Outcome
Moving Forward

Summer 2010 and next steps ...
Destination 2010

- 30 disciplines/programs!!!
  - General Education Program Learning Outcomes
  - A.S. and Technical Program Learning Outcomes
- Many new participants
- Extensive involvement and collaboration with Faculty Development
- Consistent language, continued refinement of our understanding as well as theirs
Next Steps

- Learning Evidence Team
  - Redesign
  - Lead Faculty
- Learning Assessment Committee
  - Approval Process
  - Assessment Plan Template
- Using Destination for Faculty Development support
  - Examples:
    - Training on Rubrics/Rubric Development
    - Writing Program Learning Outcomes
Reflections

Lessons learned . . .
Ewell: Managing the Tensions

4 four principles to guide institutional responses to external accountability while developing the capacity for evidence-based continuous improvement

1. Respond visibly to domains of legitimate concern
   - Concerns for our “customers”
   - Collective responsibility for Teaching and Learning
   - Focus on Accountability to ourselves

2. Show action on the results of assessment
   - Think about this from the beginning
   - Focus on thoughtful collective reflection about evidence
   - Learning Objectives must be inescapable

3. Emphasize assessment at the major transition points in a college career.
   - Transition out of Development Education
   - The completion of a program

4. Embed assessment in the regular curriculum
   - More authentic evidence
Think, Pair, Share . . .

- How would you describe your institution’s assessment efforts?
- What challenges are you facing?
- What opportunities do you have?
Questions???

• Roberta Brown
  Assistant Director, Learning Assessment
  rbrown75@valenciacc.edu
  (407)637-1006
Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Coordinators

Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Coordinators are faculty leaders charged with promoting the development and implementation of collegewide or program-wide assessment plans by discipline / program faculty within the following areas:

- **General Education**
  - Humanities
  - Communications
    - English
    - Speech
  - Science
  - Social Science
    - Other Behavioral and Social Sciences
    - U.S. Government
  - Mathematics

- **Arts and Entertainment Programs**
- **Business Programs**
- **Criminal Justice and Paralegal Studies Programs**
- **Engineering Technology Programs**
- **Health Sciences Programs**
- **Horticulture and Landscape Programs**
- **Hospitality and Culinary Programs**
- **Honors**
- **Information Technology Programs**

Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Coordinators will be asked to do the following with the support of the Director of Learning Outcomes Assessment:

- Promote the development, approval and implementation of program learning outcomes assessment plans by program/discipline faculty following the approval procedures developed by the Learning Assessment Committee. If assessment plans were developed during Destination 2011, the coordinators are encouraged to collaborate with Destination participants.
- Participate in the monthly meetings of the Learning Evidence Team (The LET currently meets on the third Wednesday of every month from 2:30pm - 4:30pm). Working with the LET will allow for a coordinated approach to collegewide communication, faculty development, and the collection of student work.
- Lead collegewide gatherings of program / discipline faculty associated with program learning outcomes assessment plans (Academic Assembly, Learning Day, Assessment Day, etc.).
- Maintain regular communication with program / discipline faculty, program coordinators / chairs and Deans concerning the development, approval, implementation of program learning outcomes assessment plans, assessment results and implications for curricular development.

Program Learning Outcomes Assessment Coordinators can expect the following:

- A stipend of $500 per semester (Fall / Spring)
- Faculty Development support to ensure that Coordinators have all the tools, information and training they need to feel confident leading program / discipline assessment efforts.
- Administrative support from the Director and Staff of the Assessment Office
- Support from the Deans associated with their discipline or program area to ensure the participation of their colleagues.
Approving Assessment Plans

Full-time faculty convene an assessment plan work team based on programs outcomes, the College’s annual assessment cycle and the curricular map of the targeted program / discipline (See Principles for selections of work team members and annual assessment cycle below).

Assessment plan work team members participate in appropriate faculty development (Faculty Development needs to be identified in conjunction with the Director of Faculty Development).

Assessment Plan work team develops a draft assessment plan based on the template approved by the Learning Assessment Committee (assessment plans are understood to include an implementation timeline and the identification of faculty development needs. The implementation timeline should make use of established opportunities for collegewide, face-to-face faculty meetings – Destination, Post-Academic Assembly Faculty Meetings, Learning Day and Assessment Day).

Created assessment plan is circulated for input to reviewers appropriate to the program / discipline (Full and part-time Faculty, Deans, Advisory Committees, etc.)

At least one college-wide live or e-mail / Blackboard discussion will be coordinated to consider input received.

Draft assessment plan is revised to reflect input.

Current voter eligibility list for curriculum will be used to vote on draft assessment plan.

One-half of eligible faculty vote and two-thirds approve? (Note: abstention counts as vote, but not as a Yes or No vote Votes and comments submitted will be documented).

Not Approved

Process Moves to Assessment Plan Approval Process Through Learning Assessment Committee

Approved

Assessment Plan submitted to the Learning Assessment Committee (LAC) for review and approval (Review and approval based on published expectations of good assessment practice and institutional effectiveness documentation requirements).

Not Approved

LAC approves plan?

Approved

LAC ensures that program / discipline leaders post approved assessment plans in the Valencia’s institutional effectiveness management system (WEAVE Online). Approved assessment plans are presented to the College Learning Council.

Process Moves to Implementation and use of Assessment Results

Based on the approved implementation timeline, student artifacts are collected and assessed by faculty using the indicators identified in the assessment plan.

Assessment results are analyzed by faculty and a plan for improvement is developed. At least one college-wide face-to-face meeting or e-mail / Blackboard discussion will be coordinated to consider assessment results and plans for the Improvement.

Assessment results and plans for improvement are posted in the College’s institutional effectiveness management system (WEAVE Online) and submitted to the LAC and the College Learning Council as information items.

Results and plans for improvement serve as the basis for the next round of assessment planning.

Process Moves to Implementation and use of Assessment Results

A timeline for faculty development opportunities based on needs identified in the assessment plan is developed and circulated by the program / discipline faculty leaders, the Learning Evidence Team Co-Chairs, and the Director of Faculty Development.

Principles for selection of members for assessment plan work teams:

- Collegewide representation where possible.
- Full-time faculty from the respective program / discipline (tenured, tenure track, and Non-Tenure Earning 4 / 8 / 10 month faculty).
- Adjunct faculty when an adequate number of full-time faculty do not teach in the program / discipline.
- Faculty from both disciplines or programs when an outcome is assessed in two programs or a program other than the primary discipline.
Approving Assessment Plans

Assessment Plan submitted to the Learning Assessment Committee (LAC) for review and approval (Review and approval based on published expectations of good assessment practice and institutional effectiveness documentation requirements).

LAC approves plan?

Approved

LAC ensures that program / discipline leaders post approved assessment plans in the Valencia’s institutional effectiveness management system (WEAVE Online). Approved assessment plans are presented to the College Learning Council.

Process Moves to Implementation and use of Assessment Results

Not Approved

A timeline for staff development opportunities based on needs identified in the assessment plan is developed and circulated by the program leaders, the Learning Evidence Team Co-Chairs, and the Director of Faculty Development.

Based on the approved implementation timeline, student artifacts are collected and assessed by staff using the indicators identified in the assessment plan.

Assessment results are analyzed and a plan for improvement is developed. At least one face-to-face meeting or e-mail / Blackboard discussion will be coordinated to consider assessment results and plans for the Improvement.

Results and plans for improvement are posted in the College’s institutional effectiveness management system (WEAVE Online) and submitted to the LAC and the College Learning Council as information items.

Process Moves to Program Outcome Assessment Plan Approval and Improvement Process – Student Affairs

Program Outcome Assessment Plan Approval and Improvement Process

Student Affairs staff convene an assessment plan work team based on programs outcomes, the College’s annual assessment cycle and the curricular map of the targeted program / discipline (See Principles for selections of work team members and annual assessment cycle below).

Assessment plan work team members participate in appropriate staff development (Staff Development needs to be identified in conjunction with the Director of Faculty Development).

Assessment Plan work team develops a draft assessment plan based on the template approved by the Learning Assessment Committee (assessment plans are understood to include an implementation timeline and the identification of staff development needs. The implementation timeline should make use of established opportunities for collegewide meetings – Destination, SALT, Student Affairs Management Meetings, Learning Day and Assessment Day).

Draft assessment plan is circulated for input to reviewers appropriate to the program.

Draft assessment plan is revised to reflect input.

Process Moves to Assessment Plan Approval Process Through Learning Assessment Committee

Principles for selection of members for assessment plan work teams:

- Collegewide representation where possible.
- Staff from the targeted program area.
- Part-time Student Affairs professionals when an adequate number of full-time staff do not work in the targeted program area.
- Faculty / staff from other program / discipline areas working on the same or similar outcomes.
- Students representation when possible.
Program Learning Outcome Assessment Plan Template

General Information

**Academic Year of Implementation:** 2010 – 2011

**Academic Program / Discipline Area (for General Education) or Co-Curricular Program Area:**

Planning Team:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Team Leader(s)¹</th>
<th>Campus</th>
<th>E-mail Address</th>
<th>Phone Extension</th>
<th>Mail Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Team Members²</th>
<th>Campus</th>
<th>E-mail Address</th>
<th>Phone Extension</th>
<th>Mail Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Planning Team Leaders assume the responsibility for coordinating activities associated with the expectations for the design, approval and implementation of Assessment Plans. See the attached documents entitled *Program Outcome Assessment Plan Approval and Improvement Process* and *Program Outcome Assessment Plan Approval and Improvement Process – Student Affairs*.

² Planning Team membership, whenever possible, should reflect the *Principles for selection of members for assessment plan work teams*. For faculty teams the principles include: Collegewide representation where possible; Full-time faculty from the respective program / discipline (tenured, tenure track, and Non-Tenure Earning 4 / 8 / 10 month faculty); Adjunct faculty when an adequate number of full-time faculty do not teach in the program / discipline; Faculty from both disciplines or programs when an outcome is assessed in two programs or a program other than the primary discipline. For plans developed in Student Affairs planning teams should include the following: Collegewide representation where possible; Staff from the targeted program area; Part-time Student Affairs professionals when an adequate number of full-time staff do not work in the targeted program area; Faculty / staff from other program / discipline areas working on the same or similar outcomes; Students representation when possible.
Learning Outcomes and Performance Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Program / Discipline Area (for General Education) or Co-Curricular Program Area:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Targeted Program Learning Outcome:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted Course(s), Co-Curricular Program or Student Activity associated with the Academic Program:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted Outcome(s) within the Course(s), Co-Curricular Program or Student Activity identified above:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Indicators for the Program Learning Outcome(s) selected:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Indicators for Outcome(s) within the Course(s), Co-Curricular Program or Student Activity selected:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Common Assessment** (What assessment method (written assignment, speech, test, etc.) will you use to assess student ability related to the program / course outcome(s) selected):

**Description of the Proposed Common Assessment** (Common assessments should be designed to ensure a balance between (1) the need for a consistency within the program in order to ensure comparable student artifacts and (2) the need for reasonable flexibility in order to encourage faculty judgment in the design and delivery of learning activities):

**Proposed Assessment Instrument** (In some cases the assessment method may not need an associated assessment instrument – e.g., multiple choice tests):
Implementation Process

Approval Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities Associated with the Approval of Assessment Plans</th>
<th>Proposed Completion Date</th>
<th>Person Responsible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draft assessment plan is circulated for input to reviewers appropriate to the program / discipline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College-wide live or e-mail / Blackboard discussion will be coordinated to consider input received</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft assessment plan is revised to reflect input</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current voter eligibility list for curriculum will be used to vote on draft assessment plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Faculty / Professional Development Needs Associated with the Proposed Common Assessment

What training / preparation / information will faculty or staff need in order complete the proposed assessment plan?

Collection of Student Artifacts

What information needs to be communicated to students concerning the assessment process (informed consent, etc.)?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How will student artifacts or data associated with student performance be collected?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If student artifacts are to be collected based on a random sample of students registered for the course or participating in the program / activity, what characteristics should the sample include?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How will information about faculty / staff participation in the assessment project be communicated?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who will be responsible for coordinating the collection of student artifacts?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At what point in the academic year / semester will the student artifacts be collected?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Program Level Assessment / Evaluation of Student Artifacts and Analysis of Results**

When will student artifacts be assessed / evaluated (Learning Day 2011 is scheduled for February 11, 2011, Assessment Day 2011 is scheduled for May 5, 2011)?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which faculty or staff from the program/discipline will evaluate student artifacts?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What training / preparation / information will faculty or staff need in order adequately assess / evaluate the student artifacts collected?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When will the results / data associated with the assessment plan be analyzed?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What training / preparation / information will faculty or staff need in order to analyze the results data associated with this assessment plan?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What additional sources of data might allow faculty / staff to better understand and act on the results of this assessment plan?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In order to ensure curricular and programmatic alignment, who else should be included in this conversation (e.g., faculty from related discipline areas in General Education)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How will the assessment results be disseminated to stakeholders (Faculty, Staff, Advisory Boards, etc.)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improvement Plan and the Use of Assessment Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What do the results of this assessment plan suggest about changes / improvements needed within the curriculum (targeted course(s), co-curricular program or student activity)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What changes to the common course outlines, if any, need to be considered?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What do the results of this assessment plan suggest about changes / improvements to the program assessment process?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>