

Start of the Academic Year 2012-2013

New Cycle Program Learning Outcomes Plan Template

Please use this form as you begin your planning cycle for the up-coming Academic Year. You will want to connect this work to the plan from the prior year and the long-term plan for your program. Please only fill out the sections relevant to your program. You do not have to fill out all sections of this form.

Please return by **October 1st** to Jessica King: jking84@valenciacollege.edu

Please send questions to Laura Blasi, Director, Institutional Assessment lblasi@valenciacollege.edu

How this relates to your Program Improvement Plan: This “new cycle template” is where you and your colleagues describe the next phase of your plan to be accomplished in the 2012-2013 Academic Year. Use this template to describe what you plan to do to implement the plan for improvements (identified in spring of 2012) over this upcoming year and write out the next program outcome you and your colleagues will assess and your plan for accomplishing this over the next year.

Academic Program / Discipline Area (for General Education) or Co-Curricular Program Area:

1. **What is your program name?** A.S. in Sound and Music Technology
2. **Does this academic year’s improvement plan (2012-2013) build on your work from last year (2011-2012)? If so, how... (1-2 sentences)**
It does not, as this year’s improvement plan involves a different program outcome and a different evaluation method.

A.	Campus	E-mail Address	Phone Extension	Mail Code
Raul Valery	East	rvalery@valenciacollege.edu	2882	3-2
Planning Team Members ¹	Campus	E-mail Address	Phone Extension	Mail Code
Raul Valery	East	rvalery@valenciacollege.edu	2882	3+2
Wendy Hawkinson	East	whawkinson@valenciacollege.edu	2633	3-2

¹ Planning Team membership, whenever possible, should reflect the ***Principles for selection of members for assessment plan work teams***. For faculty teams the principles include: College-wide representation where possible; Full-time faculty from the respective program / discipline (tenured, tenure track, and non-tenure earning 4 / 8 / 10 month faculty); Adjunct faculty when an adequate number of full-time faculty do not teach in the program / discipline; Faculty from both disciplines or programs when an outcome is assessed in two programs or a program other than the primary discipline. For plans developed in Student Affairs planning teams should include the following: College-wide representation where possible; Staff from the targeted program area; Part-time Student Affairs professionals when an adequate number of full-time staff do not work in the targeted program area; Faculty / staff from other program / discipline areas working on the same or similar outcomes; Student representation when possible.

Learning Outcomes and Performance Indicators

Academic Program / Discipline Area (for General Education) or Co-Curricular Program Area:	
Arts & Entertainment/Sound and Music Technology	
Major finding from last year and related change, if any:	
Services needed as a result of the finding (Student Learning Support, Faculty Development, etc.):	
Targeted Program Learning Outcome(s) (PLOs) for this year:	
Use computers for professional audio applications in accordance with industry standards and practices	Targeted Course(s), Co-Curricular Program or Student Activity associated with the Academic Program: MUM 2606C Sound Recording II
Is this a different outcome from the one reported last year? (yes /no)	
Yes	
Does this assessment for this year apply to more than one PLO? (yes /no)	Targeted Outcome(s) within the Course(s), Co-Curricular Program or Student Activity identified above: Use computers for professional audio applications in accordance with industry standards and practices
No	
National Standard(s): (This could be specific to your field or focused on overall undergraduate competencies from a national organization. Contact Laura Blasi for examples / ideas. lblasi@valenciacollege.edu)	Performance Indicators for Outcome(s) within the Course(s), Co-Curricular Program or Student Activity selected: Think, Act, Communicate
Performance Indicators for the Program Learning Outcome(s) selected: Think, Act, Communicate	Performance Indicators for Outcome(s) within the Course(s), Co-Curricular Program or Student Activity selected: Think, Act, Communicate
Prediction (Given what you know about your students, how you expect them to perform? What do you expect to see? You will be able to compare your beliefs to the results that you receive at the end of this assessment cycle) I believe a high percentage of the class will achieve the learning outcome under test	

Common Assessment – What assessment method (written assignment, speech, test, etc.) will you use to assess student ability related to the program / course outcome(s) selected:
Online test, using multiple-choice, completion, essay and mathematical computation

Description of the Proposed Common Assessment – Common assessments should be designed to ensure a balance between (1) the need for a consistency within the program in order to ensure comparable student artifacts and (2) the need for reasonable flexibility in order to encourage faculty judgment in the design and delivery of learning activities:
An online test measuring several different aspects of computer configuration for audio applications will be administered during the first day of class, and the same test will be re-administered at the end of the semester. The results of both administrations of the test will be compared using a repeated-subjects t-statistical analysis with an alpha of 5% or less.

What is the approximate number of students that you expect to assess? (Please indicate “Do not know at this time” if that is the case)

Around 30 combining the Spring and Summer semesters.

Implementation Process

Planning for Communication and the Collection of Student Artifacts / Data

- 1. When will faculty seek or receive feedback on the design of the assessment, the rubrics, etc.?**
January 2012
- 2. How will student artifacts or data associated with student performance be collected?**
The results will be available through the Blackboard tool for the class
- 3. If student artifacts are to be collected based on a sample of students, what characteristics should the sample include?**
- 4. What information needs to be communicated to students concerning the assessment process?**
That the first assessment is meant to evaluate what their strengths and weaknesses on the outcome are, and that the second assessment (for credit) is designed to evaluate what they have learned.

<p>5. How will information about faculty / staff participation in the assessment project be communicated? In writing, to the Dean and the other members of the team.</p>
<p>6. Who will be responsible for coordinating the collection of student artifacts / data? Raul Valery</p>
<p>7. At what point in the academic year / semester will the student artifacts/ data be collected? During the Spring and Summer full-terms in 2013.</p>

Evaluation of Student Artifacts and Analysis of Results: Understanding and Acting on the Impact of the Program (Program Level Assessment)

<p>8. When will student artifacts be assessed / evaluated? At the end of the full-term Summer semester, 2013</p>
<p>9. What is the expectation (if any) for student reflection / self-assessment (etc.) as part of this assessment? None</p>
<p>10. Which faculty or staff from the program/discipline will evaluate student artifacts? Raul Valery</p>
<p>11. What training / preparation / information will faculty or staff need in order adequately assess / evaluate the student artifacts collected? None</p>
<p>12. When will the results / data associated with the assessment plan be analyzed? Before classes start inn the Fall of 2013</p>
<p>13. What training / preparation / information will faculty or staff need in order to analyze the results data associated with this assessment plan? * Access to statistical analysis software</p>
<p>14. Does this assessment relate to your assessment from last year (if so how...)? How is this assessment connected to your improvement plan? No</p>
<p>15. What additional sources of data might allow faculty / staff to better understand and act on the results of this assessment plan? N/A</p>
<p>16. In order to ensure curricular and programmatic alignment, who else should be included in this conversation (e.g., General Education faculty)? N/A</p>

Approval / Implementation Process

Activities Associated with the Approval / Implementation of Assessment Plans	Person Responsible	Target Completion Date	Actual Completion Date
Elaborate assessment instrument	Raul Valery	October 2013	December 2013
<p>For thought (you do not need to answer...)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Will current voter eligibility lists for the curriculum be used for any voting?</i> • <i>Will you have a group enroll in a related assessment methods workshop for credit or schedule your own sometime during the term? (See: http://valenciacollege.edu/faculty/development/coursesearch.cfm)</i> • <i>For A.S. programs – how does the 10/30/12 Viability meeting fit with your work?</i> 			

Dean / Director Support

The Dean(s) / Directors (for Librarians, Counselors) responsible for supporting and promoting the work necessary for the implementation of the Assessment Plan need to indicate their support for the plan.

Dean / Director East / Winter Park Campus	Signature
Dean / Director Osceola / Lake Nona Campus	Signature
Dean / Director West Campus	Signature

Sign In Sheet for Related Meetings

Name	Dept.	Date	Event