

Academic Program / Discipline Area (for General Education) or Co-Curricular Program Area				
Sociology				
Planning Team Leader(s) ¹	Campus	E-mail Address	Phone Extension	Mail Code
Please note, with respect to the designation of Planning Team Leaders: The Planning Team came to a consensus that Planning Team Leaders should include representation from each of the three major campuses (East, Osceola, and West). Those selected, or volunteering, for the role of Planning Team Leader are to be a non-tenure track full time faculty members (tenured or four-month). It was the determination of the Planning Team that tenure-track faculty should be encouraged to concentrate on the completion of their ILP.				
Mark Guillette				
Planning Team Members ²	Campus	E-mail Address	Phone Extension	Mail Code
The Planning Team came to the consensus that all tenured, tenure-track, and full-time four-month faculty are considered members of the planning team. As the work being conducted for these Assessment Plans impacts all tenured and tenure-track faculty, they all should play an active role in the work being conducted.				
Nicole Royer				

¹ Planning Team Leaders assume the responsibility for coordinating activities associated with the expectations for the design, approval and implementation of Assessment Plans.

² Planning Team membership, whenever possible, should reflect the *Principles for selection of members for assessment plan work teams*. For faculty teams the principles include: College-wide representation where possible; Full-time faculty from the respective program / discipline (tenured, tenure track, and non-tenure earning 4 / 8 / 10 month faculty); Adjunct faculty when an adequate number of full-time faculty do not teach in the program / discipline; Faculty from both disciplines or programs when an outcome is assessed in two programs or a program other than the primary discipline. For plans developed in Student Affairs planning teams should include the following: College-wide representation where possible; Staff from the targeted program area; Part-time Student Affairs professionals when an adequate number of full-time staff do not work in the targeted program area; Faculty / staff from other program / discipline areas working on the same or similar outcomes; Students representation when possible.

Please fill in the blue shaded areas with brief sentences. A second page is provided for longer comments.

These six items are required for the report to the Learning Council.

Documenting the Assessment Process

1. In a sentence or two, what did you do and who was responsible for coordinating the collection of student artifacts / data?

We have been working on critical thinking skills. Mark has been using The Miniature Guide to Critical by Paul & Elder (The Foundation for Critical Thinking). Nicole has been using media and popular culture to have her students apply sociological concepts and theory.

2. At what point in the academic year / semester were the student artifacts / data collected?

Mark's data was collected during the Spring 2012 term. Nicole's data was collected in the Fall of 2011

Improvement Plan and Use of the Assessment Results – Next Year's Cycle

3. What were your results? (Please e-mail the data when you submit this form if possible, for example rubric scores in an Excel sheet.)

Our results are statistically significant according to T-test analysis through SPSS Programming.

4. What are the changes / improvements you plan to make within the curriculum (targeted courses), co-curricular program, or student activity over the next year? (Please use the following page if you need more space for your response.)

We are going to consider aligning a selection number of assignments/projects/discussions in our sociology courses, yet to be determined, with the Sociocentric rubric developed by Paul and Elder (The Foundation for Critical Thinking).

5. What changes, if any, will be made to the common course outlines, the catalog, etc.

We are confident in the current state of those documents.

Next Steps – Planning for Next Year's Cycle— Academic Year 2012-2013

6. What are your next steps – acting on the results? (These steps will guide others in the next cycle... moving the process forward.) If these steps include the development and implementation of a new assessment, include that information here. If you plan to change the current assessment or the program learning outcome that you focus on, you will want to do that here.

We are going to consider investigating college wide resources that were brought to Valencia by the Foundation for Critical Thinking. Also, we are going to consider aligning a selection number of assignments/projects/discussions in our sociology courses, yet to be determined, with the Sociocentric rubric developed by Paul and Elder (The Foundation for Critical Thinking).

Additional Space for Comments (Optional)

3) *If you have additional comments for the following question, please share them here:* What were your results?

4) *If you have additional comments for the following question, please share them here:* What are the changes / improvements you plan to make within the curriculum (targeted courses), co-curricular program, or student over the next year?

6) *If you have additional comments for the following question, please share them here:* What are your next steps – acting on the results? If these steps include the development and implementation of a new assessment, include that information here. If you plan to change the current assessment or the program learning outcome that you focus on, you will want to do that here.

Sign In Sheet for Assessment Day

Name	Dept.	Date	Event