* Top of Form

The final evaluation of this portfolio is based on its overall quality. The portfolio is considered to be more than the sum of its parts. If one element is not at the acceptable level, the overall review of the portfolio is not necessarily unacceptable.

***Complete the gray form fields below. Advance by using the “tab” key.***

* A final portfolio will be considered acceptable if a majority of the Review Panel members considers the portfolio as a whole to be acceptable. A portfolio that is considered unacceptable by two out of the four panel members will be considered unacceptable.
* Each Review Panel will base assessments on the Valencia College Portfolio Evaluation Rubric.

**Faculty Candidate’s Name:**

**Meeting date, if applicable:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *In inserting the “Date Report Reviewed” by the panelists, the dean confirms that all panelists have reviewed*  *the report and approve of the evaluation and comments.* | | | |
| Dean’s Name |  | Date Report Reviewed |  |
| Panelist Name |  | Date Report Reviewed |  |
| Panelist Name |  | Date Report Reviewed |  |
| Panelist Name |  | Date Report Reviewed |  |
| Date Report Sent to Candidate, Tenure Review Committee, and TLA | |  | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Each of the following major Required elements of a Valencia faculty portfolio must be present.** | | |
| Check off those present | Portfolio elements | must be present in each element |
|  | Educational and Professional Background | Vitae/Resume |
|  | Individualized Learning Plan (ILP) | Philosophy |
| Faculty Learning Outcomes (FLOs) |
| ILP and Portfolio Review Panel Reports |
|  | Supporting Documentation for each Faculty Learning Outcome | Adequate Preparation |
| Appropriate Method |
| Significant Results |
| Reflective Critique of the FLO |
| Reflective Critique of each Essential Competency that is demonstrated in the FLO |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Each Essential Competency must be addressed once throughout the portfolio.** | | | | | | | |
| Competencies | Assessment | Inclusion and Diversity | L-C Teaching Strategies | LIfeMap | Outcomes-based Practice | Professional Commitment | SoTL |
| Check those that have been addressed.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Final assessment of the Portfolio** | | |
| One | Achievement Level | Criteria Statements |
|  | Acceptable | All Required Elements of a Valencia Faculty Portfolio demonstrated |
| Valencia Standards of Scholarship generally satisfied to the acceptable level according to the Portfolio Evaluation Rubric |
|  | Incomplete /  Not Yet Acceptable | Not all Required Elements of a Valencia Faculty Portfolio demonstrated |
| Valencia Standards of Scholarship generally not satisfied to the acceptable level according to the Portfolio Evaluation Rubric |

|  |
| --- |
| **In the space below, write any overall remarks and/or comments expressing exemplary performance or substantial concerns** |
| **NOTE: Award recommendations :**In consultation with the panel, please select any categories for which you would recommend the candidate be considered for award (note: a recommendation would indicate the candidate could move to exemplary with the changes listed above):  ☐  ☐  ☐  ☐   |  |  | | --- | --- | |  | Action Research | |  | LifeMap | |  | Inclusion and Diversity | |  | Model Portfolio | |
|
|